(CLASSIFICATION)

62-6884

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

EXECUTIVE MEMORANDUM

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

	DATE 2 0 SEP 1952		
	DATE	The second second second	
MEMORANDUM FOR:			
DEPUTY DIRECTOR (PLANS) ATTEMPTED DEPUTY DIRECTOR (INTELLIGENCE) DEPUTY DIRECTOR (RESEARCH) ATTEMPTED DEPUTY DIRECTOR (SUPPORT) ATTEMPTED DEPUTY DIRECTOR GENERAL GENERAL COUNSEL ASSISTANT DIRECTOR FOR NATIONAL ESTIMATES		25X1	
Director of Training			

and the state of the state of

LBK/kg
cc +/ER
O/DDCI
O/DCI
Exec Dir

This memorandum contains information for the addressees. Addressees may give this memorandum additional circulation within their components as required. All copies should be **destroyed** not filed, upon completion of circulation. A master file will be kept in the Executive Director's Office and will be available upon request.

2 9 SEP 1962

BUBJECT: Discussion with Robert Amory, Bureau of the Budget, on Foreign Affairs Academy

- I. I called Bob Amory to discuss the Foreign Affairs Academy with him and ask him the general status of this proposal. He commented that the Perkins Panel was scheduled to meet all day next Thursday the 27th, but that there was some uncertainty about their meeting and about the over-all proposal for a Foreign Affairs Academy. He said that the Perkins Panel was quite upset by the Merchant Report and particularly about the defensive attitude of the Foreign Service Institute. They felt, as some people in the government felt, that State was unwilling to view a Foreign Affairs Academy in the broad perspective of an educational institution which by its very nature would engage in centroversy and would create controversy. The Perkins Panel apparently feels that State views the proposed Foreign Affairs Academy as nothing more than an expanded Foreign Service Institute, and that none of them believe that this was either the proper objective or worthwhile. Consequently, there was some question as to whether the Panel would meet and even a greater question as to what would happen in the future. He said that he had discussed the matter with Bundy and Kaysen; and the three of them believed that if State would agree to nothing more than an expanded Foreign Service Institute, which could be accomplished by administrative action, then there was little purpose in pursuing the issue any further.
- activities and potential contribution were too little in evidence. I suggested that perhaps we should submit a paper at least for the Perkins Panel and the principal officers of the agencies concerned going into more detail on our capability, provided that it was classified and did not receive distribution or publicity outside of the government. He said he didn't think this was necessary, but then allowed that perhaps because he and Sam Belk, who is handling the matter in the White House, know the Agency so well, that they didn't appreciate what was being left unsaid. He said that if we would wish to prepare a paper to do it in multiple copies and send it to Sam Belk.

(signed) Lyman B. Kirkpatrick Lyman B. Kirkpatrick Executive Director

Approved For Release 2002/10/22: ChauRDP80 B01676R000100100004-8
Excluded from automatic and development developme

		CONFIDEN	TIAL	čr<u>šečk</u>140 1
		AL INTELLIGENCE ACCIAL ROUTING		
то	NAME AND	DATE	INITIALS	
1	Executive Registry			
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY		E REPLY
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH		MENDATION
	COMMENT	FILE	RETUIRN	
	CONCURRENCE	INFORMATION	SIGNAT	URE
	FOLD	HERE TO RETURN TO) SENDER	
		HERE TO RETURN TO , ADDRESS AND PHONE F		DATE

" U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1961 O--587282

FORM NO. 237 Use previous editions

25X1

Approved For Release 2002/10/22 : CIA-RDP80B01676R000100100004-8

EXECUTIVE MEMORANDUM no 47 -- cancelled

(Doris says that the number 47 in the Exec. Memo series was neverex used)